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Patlak-Keller-Segel (PKS) Model

[Patlak; 1953], [Keller, Segel; 1970, 1971]

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\chi \rho \nabla c) &= \Delta \rho \\
\varepsilon c_t &= \Delta c - c + \rho 
\end{align*}
\]

\[x = (x, y)^T \in \Omega, \quad t > 0\]

\(\rho(x, y, t)\): cell density

\(c(x, y, t)\): chemoattractant concentration

\(\chi\): chemotactic sensitivity constant

\(\varepsilon = 1\): parabolic case \quad \varepsilon = 0\): parabolic-elliptic case

- Solutions of this system may blow up in finite time

- This blow-up represents a mathematical description of a cell concentration phenomenon that occur in real biological systems
Naïve Finite-Difference Scheme

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_t + (\chi \rho c_x)_x + (\chi \rho c_y)_y &= \rho_{xx} + \rho_{yy} \\
c_t &= c_{xx} + c_{yy} - c + \rho
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\rho_{j,k}}{dt} &= \frac{H^x_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} - H^x_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}}{\Delta x} - \frac{H^y_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - H^y_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta y} + D_0^2 \rho_{j,k} \\
\frac{dc_{j,k}}{dt} &= D_0^2 c_{j,k} - c_{j,k} + \rho_{j,k}
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
H^x_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} &= \chi \frac{\rho_{j,1,k} + \rho_{j,k}}{2} \cdot \frac{c_{j+1,k} - c_{j,k}}{\Delta x} \\
H^y_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} &= \chi \frac{\rho_{j,k,1} + \rho_{j,k}}{2} \cdot \frac{c_{j,k+1} - c_{j,k}}{\Delta y} \\
D_0^2 \rho_{j,k} &= \frac{\rho_{j+1,k} - 2\rho_{j,k} + \rho_{j-1,k}}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{\rho_{j,k+1} - 2\rho_{j,k} + \rho_{j,k-1}}{(\Delta y)^2}
\end{align*}
\]
Example — Blowup at the Center of a Square Domain

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_t + (\chi \rho c_x)_x + (\chi \rho c_y)_y &= \rho_{xx} + \rho_{yy} \\
c_t &= c_{xx} + c_{yy} - c + \rho
\end{align*}
\]

- Square domain \( \Omega = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \)
- Initial conditions:
  \[\rho(x, y, 0) = 1000 e^{-100(x^2+y^2)}, \quad c(x, y, 0) = 500 e^{-50(x^2+y^2)}\]
- Neumann boundary conditions

According to [Harrero, Velázquez; 1997], both \( \rho \)- and \( c \)-components of the solution are expected to blow up at the origin in finite time.
$t = 10^{-4}$

$\times 10^6$

$\times 10^6$
Understanding the Nature of Instability

\[
\begin{aligned}
\rho_t + (\chi \rho c_x)_x + (\chi \rho c_y)_y &= \rho_{xx} + \rho_{yy} \\
c_t &= c_{xx} + c_{yy} - c + \rho
\end{aligned}
\]

Denote \( u := c_x \) and \( v := c_y \) and rewrite the PKS system

\[
\begin{aligned}
\rho_t + (\chi \rho u)_x + (\chi \rho v)_y &= \rho_{xx} + \rho_{yy} \\
u_t - \rho_x &= u_{xx} + u_{yy} - u \\
v_t - \rho_y &= v_{xx} + v_{yy} - v
\end{aligned}
\]

This is a system of convection-diffusion-reaction equations:

\[
U_t + f(U)_x + g(U)_y = \Delta U + R(U)
\]

\( U := (\rho, u, v)^T, \ f(U) := (\chi \rho u, -\rho, 0)^T, \ g(U) := (\chi \rho v, 0, -\rho)^T, \)

\( R(U) := (0, -u, -v)^T. \)
\[ \mathbf{U}_t + f(\mathbf{U})_x + g(\mathbf{U})_y = \Delta \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}) \]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\rho \\
u \\
v
\end{pmatrix}_t + \begin{pmatrix}
\chi \rho u \\
-\rho \\
0
\end{pmatrix}_x + \begin{pmatrix}
\chi \rho v \\
0 \\
-\rho
\end{pmatrix}_y = \begin{pmatrix}
\Delta \rho \\
\Delta u \\
\Delta v
\end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
u \\
v
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The Jacobians of \(f\) and \(g\) are:

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{U}} = \begin{pmatrix}
\chi u & \chi \rho & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{U}} = \begin{pmatrix}
\chi v & 0 & \chi \rho \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Their eigenvalues are:

\[
\lambda^f_{1,2} = \frac{\chi}{2} \left(u \pm \sqrt{u^2 - \frac{4 \rho}{\chi}}\right), \quad \lambda^f_3 = 0
\]

\[
\lambda^g_{1,2} = \frac{\chi}{2} \left(v \pm \sqrt{v^2 - \frac{4 \rho}{\chi}}\right), \quad \lambda^g_3 = 0
\]
The eigenvalues are:

\[ \lambda^f_{1,2} = \frac{\chi}{2} \left( \frac{u \pm \sqrt{u^2 - 4\rho}}{\chi} \right), \quad \lambda^f_3 = 0 \]

\[ \lambda^g_{1,2} = \frac{\chi}{2} \left( \frac{v \pm \sqrt{v^2 - 4\rho}}{\chi} \right), \quad \lambda^g_3 = 0 \]

The key observation: the “purely” convective system

\[ U_t + f(U)_x + g(U)_y = 0 \]

is

- hyperbolic (real e-values) if both \( \chi u^2 \geq 4\rho \) and \( \chi v^2 \geq 4\rho \)
- elliptic (complex e-values) if \( \chi \min(u^2, v^2) < 4\rho \)

Notice that the ellipticity condition is satisfied in generic cases, for example, when \( u = c_x = 0 \) and \( \rho > 0 \)

*The operator splitting approach may not be applicable!*
Semi-Discrete Positivity Preserving Upwind Scheme

\[
\begin{aligned}
\rho_t + (\chi \rho c_x)x + (\chi \rho c_y)y &= \rho_{xx} + \rho_{yy} \\
c_t &= c_{xx} + c_{yy} - c + \rho
\end{aligned}
\]

**Computational cells:**

\[
I_{j,k} := [x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}] \times [y_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, y_{k+\frac{1}{2}}]
\]

The cell averages of \( \rho \),

\[
\bar{\rho}_{j,k}(t) := \frac{1}{\Delta x \Delta y} \iint_{I_{j,k}} \rho(x, y, t) \, dx \, dy,
\]

and the point values of \( c \),

\[
c_{j,k} := c(x_j, y_k, t),
\]

are evolved in time by solving the system of ODEs:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\bar{\rho}_{j,k}}{dt} &= \frac{H^x_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} - H^x_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}}{\Delta x} - \frac{H^y_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - H^y_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta y} \\
&\quad + \frac{\bar{\rho}_{j-1,k} - 2\bar{\rho}_{j,k} + \bar{\rho}_{j+1,k}}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{\bar{\rho}_{j,k-1} - 2\bar{\rho}_{j,k} + \bar{\rho}_{j,k+1}}{(\Delta y)^2} \\
\frac{dc_{j,k}}{dt} &= \frac{c_{j-1,k} - 2c_{j,k} + c_{j+1,k}}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{c_{j,k-1} - 2c_{j,k} + c_{j,k+1}}{(\Delta y)^2} - c_{j,k} + \bar{\rho}_{j,k}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\{ \bar{\rho}_{j,k}(t) \} \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}(\cdot,t) \rightarrow \left\{ \rho_{j,k}^{E,W,N,S}(t) \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
H_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^x(t) \\
H_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^y(t)
\end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \{ \bar{\rho}_{j,k}(t+\Delta t) \}
\]

(Discontinuous) piecewise-linear reconstruction:

\[
\tilde{\rho}(x,y,t) := \bar{\rho}_{j,k} + (\rho_x)_{j,k}(x - x_j) + (\rho_y)_{j,k}(y - y_k), \quad (x,y) \in I_{j,k}
\]

It is conservative, second-order accurate and non-oscillatory provided the slopes are computed by a nonlinear limiter.
Example — the Generalized Minmod Limiter

\[ \tilde{\rho}(x, y, t) := \bar{\rho}_{j,k} + (\rho_x)_{j,k}(x - x_j) + (\rho_y)_{j,k}(y - y_k), \quad (x, y) \in I_{j,k} \]

\[(\rho_x)_{j,k} = \minmod\left( \theta \frac{\bar{\rho}_{j,k} - \bar{\rho}_{j-1,k}}{\Delta x}, \frac{\bar{\rho}_{j+1,k} - \bar{\rho}_{j-1,k}}{2\Delta x}, \theta \frac{\bar{\rho}_{j+1,k} - \bar{\rho}_{j,k}}{\Delta x} \right)\]

\[(\rho_y)_{j,k} = \minmod\left( \theta \frac{\bar{\rho}_{j,k} - \bar{\rho}_{j,k-1}}{\Delta y}, \frac{\bar{\rho}_{j,k+1} - \bar{\rho}_{j,k-1}}{2\Delta y}, \theta \frac{\bar{\rho}_{j,k+1} - \bar{\rho}_{j,k}}{\Delta y} \right)\]

where \( \theta \in [1, 2] \) and

\[
\minmod(z_1, z_2, ...) := \begin{cases} 
\min_j \{z_j\}, & \text{if } z_j > 0 \ \forall j \\
\max_j \{z_j\}, & \text{if } z_j < 0 \ \forall j \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
\{\tilde{\rho}_{j,k}(t)\} \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}(\cdot, t) \rightarrow \{\rho_{j,k}^{E,W,N,S}(t)\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} H^x_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}(t) \\ H^y_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}(t) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \{\tilde{\rho}_{j,k}(t+\Delta t)\}

\rho_{j,k}^{E,W,N,S}(t)

are the point values of

\tilde{\rho}(x, y, t) = \bar{\rho}_{j,k} + (\rho_x)_{j,k}(x - x_j) + (\rho_y)_{j,k}(y - y_k), \quad (x, y) \in I_{j,k}

at \((x_j + \frac{1}{2}, y_k), (x_j - \frac{1}{2}, y_k), (x_j, y_k + \frac{1}{2})\), and \((x_j, y_k - \frac{1}{2})\), respectively:

\rho_{j,k}^E := \tilde{\rho}(x_j + \frac{1}{2} - 0, y_k) = \bar{\rho}_{j,k} + \frac{\Delta x}{2}(\rho_x)_{j,k}

\rho_{j,k}^W := \tilde{\rho}(x_j - \frac{1}{2} + 0, y_k) = \bar{\rho}_{j,k} - \frac{\Delta x}{2}(\rho_x)_{j,k}

\rho_{j,k}^N := \tilde{\rho}(x_j, y_k + \frac{1}{2} - 0) = \bar{\rho}_{j,k} + \frac{\Delta y}{2}(\rho_y)_{j,k}

\rho_{j,k}^S := \tilde{\rho}(x_j, y_k - \frac{1}{2} + 0) = \bar{\rho}_{j,k} - \frac{\Delta y}{2}(\rho_y)_{j,k}
\[
\{ \bar{\rho}_{j,k}(t) \} \rightarrow \bar{\rho}(\cdot, t) \rightarrow \{ \rho_{j,k}^{E,W,N,S}(t) \} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
H_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^x(t) \\
H_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^y(t)
\end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \{ \bar{\rho}_{j,k}(t+\Delta t) \}
\]

\[H_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^x = \chi \rho_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} u_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}, \quad H_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^y = \chi \rho_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} v_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}\]

\[u_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} = \frac{c_{j+1,k} - c_{j,k}}{\Delta x}, \quad v_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{c_{j,k+1} - c_{j,k}}{\Delta y}\]

\[\rho_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} = \begin{cases} 
\rho_{j,k}^E, & \text{if } u_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} > 0 \\
\rho_{j+1,k}^{W}, & \text{if } u_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} < 0
\end{cases}\]

\[\rho_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{cases} 
\rho_{j,k}^N, & \text{if } v_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} > 0 \\
\rho_{j,k+1}^{S}, & \text{if } v_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} < 0
\end{cases}\]
Positivity Preserving Property

**Theorem:** The cell densities \( \{\bar{\rho}_{j,k}(t)\} \), computed by the above second-order semi-discrete upwind scheme with a positivity preserving piecewise linear reconstruction for \( \rho \), remain nonnegative provided the initial cell densities are nonnegative, the system of ODEs is discretized by a strong stability preserving (SSP) ODE solver, and the following CFL condition is satisfied:

\[
\Delta t \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\Delta x}{8a}, \frac{\Delta y}{8b}, \frac{(\Delta x)^2(\Delta y)^2}{4((\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2)} \right\}
\]

where

\[
a := \chi \max_{j,k} \left\{|u_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}|\right\}, \quad b := \chi \max_{j,k} \left\{|v_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}|\right\}
\]
Idea of Proof:

\[ \bar{\rho}_{j,k}(t + \Delta t) = \left[ \frac{1}{8} - \frac{\lambda \chi}{2} \left( |u_{j-\frac{1}{2}} - u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}| \right) \right] \rho_{j,k}^W + \left[ \frac{1}{8} - \frac{\lambda \chi}{2} \left( |u_{j+\frac{1}{2}} + u_{j-\frac{1}{2}}| \right) \right] \rho_{j,k}^E \\
+ \frac{\lambda \chi}{2} \left( |u_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}| \right) \rho_{j+1,k}^W + \frac{\lambda \chi}{2} \left( |u_{j-\frac{1}{2}} + u_{j-\frac{1}{2}}| \right) \rho_{j-1,k}^E \\
+ \left[ \frac{1}{8} - \frac{\mu \chi}{2} \left( |v_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}| - v_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \rho_{j,k}^S + \left[ \frac{1}{8} - \frac{\mu \chi}{2} \left( |v_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}| + v_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \rho_{j,k}^N \\
+ \bar{\rho}_{j,k} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \Delta t \left( \frac{2}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{2}{(\Delta y)^2} \right) \right] \right] + \Delta t \left[ \frac{\bar{\rho}_{j+1,k} + \bar{\rho}_{j-1,k}}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{\bar{\rho}_{j,k+1} + \bar{\rho}_{j,k-1}}{(\Delta y)^2} \right] \right] \\
\]

where \( \lambda \equiv \Delta t/\Delta x \) and \( \mu \equiv \Delta t/\Delta y \).

The new values \( \{\bar{\rho}_{j,k}(t + \Delta t)\} \) are linear combinations of the nonnegative reconstructed point value \( \{\rho_{j,k}^E, \rho_{j,k}^W, \rho_{j,k}^N, \rho_{j,k}^S\} \) and cell averages \( \{\bar{\rho}_{j,k}\} \).
Example 1 — Blowup at the Center of a Square Domain

- Square domain $\Omega = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$

- Radially symmetric bell-shaped initial data
  
  $\rho(x, y, 0) = 1000 e^{-100(x^2+y^2)}, \quad c(x, y, 0) = 500 e^{-50(x^2+y^2)}$

- Neumann boundary conditions
Or, in the logarithmic vertical scale:
Example 2 — Blowup at the Center of a Square Domain

- Square domain $\Omega = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$

- Initial conditions:
  \[\rho(x, y, 0) = 1000 e^{-100(x^2+y^2)}, \quad c(x, y, 0) \equiv 0\]

- Neumann boundary conditions

Properties:

- both $\rho$- and $c$-components of the solution are expected to blow up at the origin in finite time;
- the blowup is expected to occur later than in Example 1;
- the diffusion initially dominates the concentration mechanism and hence, the cells spread out and the cell density maximum decreases at small times.
Example 3 — Blowup at the Corner of a Square Domain

- Square domain $\Omega = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$

- Initial conditions:

$$\rho(x, y, 0) = 1000 e^{-100((x-0.25)^2+(y-0.25)^2)}, \quad c(x, y, 0) \equiv 0$$

- Neumann boundary conditions

The solution is expected to blow up at the corner $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$
Two-Species Chemotaxis Models

\[
\begin{aligned}
(r_1)_t + \chi_1 \nabla \cdot (r_1 \nabla c) &= \mu_1 \Delta r_1 \\
(r_2)_t + \chi_2 \nabla \cdot (r_2 \nabla c) &= \mu_2 \Delta r_2 \\
\Delta c + \gamma_1 r_1 + \gamma_2 r_2 - \zeta c &= 0
\end{aligned}
\]
\[x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \ t > 0\]

Assume that

\[\chi_1 < \chi_2\]

According to

[Wolansky; 2002]
[Espejo, Stevens, Velázquez; 2010]
[Conca, Espejo, Vilches; 2011]
[Espejo, Stevens, Suzuki; 2012]
[Espejo, Vilches, Conca; to appear]

the solution may either be globally regular or both \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) would blow up within a finite time
More precisely, for the IVP for the system

\[
\begin{cases}
(\rho_1)_t + \chi_1 \nabla \cdot (\rho_1 \nabla c) = \mu_1 \Delta \rho_1 \\
(\rho_2)_t + \chi_2 \nabla \cdot (\rho_2 \nabla c) = \Delta \rho_2 \\
\Delta c + \rho_1 + \rho_2 - c = 0
\end{cases}
\]

\[x \in \Omega \equiv \mathbb{R}^d, \ t > 0\]

the behavior of the solution depends on the total masses

\[
\theta_1 := \int_{\Omega} \rho_1(x, t) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \rho_1^0(x) \, dx, \quad \theta_2 := \int_{\Omega} \rho_2(x, t) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \rho_2^0(x) \, dx
\]
• There is a global classical solution in Region A

• In Region C, $\rho_2$ blows up faster than $\rho_1$

• In Region D, $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$ blow up at the same rate

The question on the solution behavior in Region B remains open
Example 1 — Global Existence in Region A

• Square domain $\Omega = [-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}] \times [-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$

• Initial conditions:

$$\rho_1(x, y, 0) \equiv \rho_2(x, y, 0) = 50 e^{-100(x^2+y^2)}$$

• Parameters:

$$\chi_1 = 1, \quad \chi_2 = 10, \quad \mu_1 = 1$$

• Neumann boundary conditions
The magnitude of both $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$ decays and the solution remains smooth and bounded.
Example 2 — $\rho_2$ Blows Up Faster than $\rho_1$ in Region C

• Square domain $\Omega = [-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}] \times [-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$

• Initial conditions:
  \[
  \rho_1(x, y, 0)) = 10 e^{-100(x^2+y^2)}, \quad \rho_2(x, y, 0)) = 90 e^{-100(x^2+y^2)}
  \]

• Parameters:
  \[
  \chi_1 = 6, \quad \chi_2 = 100, \quad \mu_1 = 1
  \]

• Neumann boundary conditions
The magnitude of $\rho_2$ increases by a factor of about 4, which clearly indicates that by this time $\rho_2$ has already blown up:

$$\max_{j,k}(\rho_2)_{j,k} \sim \frac{1}{h^2}$$
$\rho_1$ increases only by a factor of about 2, which means that $\rho_1$ blows up at a lower rate (no $\delta$-type singularity forms)

$$\max_{j,k}(\rho_1)_{j,k} \sim \frac{1}{h}$$
Example 3 — Different Types of Blow-Up of $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$ in Region B

- Square domain $\Omega = [-3, 3] \times [-3, 3]$

- Initial conditions:
  \begin{equation}
  \rho_1(x, y, 0) \equiv \rho_2(x, y, 0) = 50 e^{-100(x^2+y^2)}
  \end{equation}

- Parameters:
  \[
  \chi_1 = 1, \quad \chi_2 = 20, \quad \mu_1 = 1
  \]

- Neumann boundary conditions
This numerical experiment indicates that it is possible that in Region B one of the species aggregates and its density blows up, while the density of the second component remains bounded with decaying magnitude...

However...
However, this contradicts the analytical results, obtained for the above 2-species system in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

We take a large square domain and use the Neumann boundary conditions, which are typically used to represent open boundary conditions on truncated computational domains. In none of the numerical examples, the solution behavior was affected by the boundary conditions, that is, all of the numerical solutions remain flat near the boundaries. This makes us to believe that the solution in $\mathbb{R}^2$ should behave similarly.

Q: How to explain the contradiction?
A: More careful numerical experiments show that:

The magnitude of $\rho_2$ increases by a factor of about 4, that is, $\rho_2$ develops a $\delta$-type singularity:

$$\max_{j,k}(\rho_2)_{j,k} \sim \frac{1}{h^2}$$

while $\rho_1$ blows up at a very slow rate:

$$\max_{j,k}(\rho_1)_{j,k} \sim \frac{1}{h^{1/4}}$$

**Numerical Challenge:** How to compute such solutions?
Coupled Chemotaxis-Fluid Model

\[
\begin{cases}
  n_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla n + \chi \nabla \cdot [nr(c)\nabla c] = D_n \Delta n \\
  c_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla c = D_c \Delta c - n \kappa r(c) \\
  \rho(\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \nabla p = \eta \Delta \mathbf{u} - n \nabla \Phi \\
  \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0
\end{cases}
\]

\(n\): concentration of bacteria

\(c\): concentration of oxygen

\(\chi\): chemotactic sensitivity constant

\(\mathbf{u}\): fluid velocity, \(\rho\): density, \(p\): pressure, \(\eta\): viscosity

\(D_n\) and \(D_c\): diffusion constants
\[
\begin{aligned}
\begin{cases}
\quad n_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla n + \chi \nabla \cdot [n r(c) \nabla c] = D_n \Delta n \\
\quad c_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla c = D_c \Delta c - n \kappa r(c) \\
\quad \rho(\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \nabla p = \eta \Delta \mathbf{u} - n \nabla \Phi \\
\quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\]

\(\nabla \Phi := V_b g (\rho_b - \rho) z\): \textit{gravitational force} exerted by a bacterium onto the fluid;

\(z\): upwards unit vector, \(V_b\): volume of the bacterium

\(g = 9.8 \text{m/s}^2\): \textit{gravitation acceleration}

\(\rho_b\): density of bacteria, which are about 10\% denser than water

\(r(c) = \theta(c - c^*)\): \textit{dimensionless cut-off function}, which models an \textit{inactivity threshold} of the bacteria due to low oxygen supply
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
n_t + u \cdot \nabla n + \chi \nabla \cdot [nr(c) \nabla c] = D_n \Delta n \\
c_t + u \cdot \nabla c = D_c \Delta c - nkr(c) \\
\rho(u_t + u \cdot \nabla u) + \nabla p = \eta \Delta u - n \nabla \Phi \\
\nabla \cdot u = 0
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

- Bacteria and oxygen are convected with fluid and diffuse.
- Oxygen is consumed.
- Bacteria are directed towards high oxygen gradient.
Bioconvection

Bioconvection is the spontaneous formation of patterns in suspensions of swimming micro-organisms.

Organisms exhibiting bioconvection have two things in common: they are denser than water; they swim upwards in still water.

Example: Complex bioconvection patterns are observed when a (well-stirred) suspension of bacterial cells (e.g. *Bacillus subtilis*) is placed in a chamber with its upper surface open to the atmosphere.

- The cells are aerotactic
- Upswimming causes the micro-organisms to accumulate in the upper regions of the fluid
- This distribution is unstable since the cells are denser than water
- The instability leads to the formation of patterns in the form of descending plumes
The initial suspension of the aerobic bacteria B. subtilis is well stirred and quasi-homogeneous.

- A high concentration layer forms near the surface as cell swim up following the oxygen gradient.
- Instabilities form at this layer and finger-shaped plumes begin to sink downwards.
- Turn into mushroom-shaped plumes in the areas where the oxygen concentration is below the aerotaxis threshold.
Non-dimensionalization and switching to vorticity formulation:

\[
\begin{align*}
  n_t + \text{div}(un) + \alpha \nabla \cdot [r(c)n\nabla c] &= \Delta n \\
  c_t + \text{div}(uc) &= \delta \Delta c - \beta r(c)n \\
  \omega_t + \text{div}(u\omega) &= Sc \Delta \omega - \gamma Sc n_x \\
  \Delta \psi &= -\omega
\end{align*}
\]

\[\omega := v_x - u_y: \text{ vorticity, } \psi: \text{ stream-function, } u = \psi_y, \ v = -\psi_x\]

Initial Conditions in \( \Omega = [-a, a] \times [0, d] \):

\[n(x, y, 0) = n_0(x, y), \ c(x, y, 0) = c_0(x, y), \ u(x, y, 0) = u_0(x, y)\]

Boundary Conditions in \( \Omega = [-a, a] \times [0, d] \):

\[\alpha r(c)n c_y - n_y = 0, \ c = 1, \ v = 0, \ u_y = 0, \ \forall (x, y): y = d\]

\[n_y = c_y = 0, \ u = v = 0, \ \forall (x, y): y = 0\]

At the sides of \( \Omega \) (\( x = \pm a \)) the boundary conditions are periodic.
Hybrid Finite-Volume Finite-Difference Scheme

\[
\begin{aligned}
    n_t + [(u + \alpha r(c)c_x)n]_x + [(v + \alpha r(c)c_y)n]_y &= n_{xx} + n_{yy}, \\
    c_t + (uc)_x + (vc)_y &= \delta (c_{xx} + c_{yy}) - \beta r(c)n \\
    \omega_t + u\omega_x + v\omega_y &= Sc(\omega_{xx} + \omega_{yy}) - \gamma Sc n_x, \\
    \psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy} &= -\omega.
\end{aligned}
\]

- \( n \) and \( c \) are evolved in time by solving the chemotaxis equations using the second-order finite-volume upwind method

- \( \omega \) is evolved on a staggered grid by applying the second-order centered-difference scheme to the vorticity equation

- \( u \) and \( v \) are recovered from the stream-function \( \psi \) by solving the elliptic equation followed by the centered-difference approximations of the velocities in \( u = \psi_y \) and \( v = -\psi_x \)

IMPORTANT: The scheme must be positivity preserving!
Finite-Volume Upwind Scheme

We denote the cell averages of \( \mathbf{q} := (n, c)^T \) by

\[
\overline{q}_{j,k}(t) := \frac{1}{\Delta x \Delta y} \iint_{C_{j,k}} \mathbf{q}(x, y, t) \, dx \, dy
\]

\[
\iint_{C_{j,k}} n_t + \iint_{C_{j,k}} [(u + \alpha r(c)c_x)n]_x + \iint_{C_{j,k}} [(v + \alpha r(c)c_y)n]_y = \iint_{C_{j,k}} (n_{xx} + n_{yy})
\]

\[
\iint_{C_{j,k}} c_t + \iint_{C_{j,k}} (uc)_x + \iint_{C_{j,k}} (vc)_y = \iint_{C_{j,k}} \delta(c_{xx} + c_{yy}) - \iint_{C_{j,k}} \beta r(c)n
\]
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \bar{n}_{j,k} \approx -\left( u + \alpha r(c) c_x \right) \left| n \right|_{x_j + \frac{1}{2}, y_k} - \left( u + \alpha r(c) c_x \right) \left| n \right|_{x_j - \frac{1}{2}, y_k} \quad \Delta x
\]

\[
-\left( v + \alpha r(c) c_y \right) \left| n \right|_{x_j, y_k + \frac{1}{2}} - \left( v + \alpha r(c) c_y \right) \left| n \right|_{x_j, y_k - \frac{1}{2}} \quad \Delta y
\]

\[
+n_x \left| n \right|_{x_j + \frac{1}{2}, y_k} - n_x \left| n \right|_{x_j - \frac{1}{2}, y_k} \quad \Delta x
\]

\[
+n_y \left| n \right|_{x_j, y_k + \frac{1}{2}} - n_y \left| n \right|_{x_j, y_k - \frac{1}{2}} \quad \Delta y
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \bar{c}_{j,k} \approx -\left( u c \right) \left| c \right|_{x_j + \frac{1}{2}, y_k} - \left( u c \right) \left| c \right|_{x_j - \frac{1}{2}, y_k} \quad \Delta x
\]

\[
-\left( v c \right) \left| c \right|_{x_j, y_k + \frac{1}{2}} - \left( v c \right) \left| c \right|_{x_j, y_k - \frac{1}{2}} \quad \Delta y
\]

\[
+c_x \left| c \right|_{x_j + \frac{1}{2}, y_k} - c_x \left| c \right|_{x_j - \frac{1}{2}, y_k} \quad \Delta x
\]

\[
+c_y \left| c \right|_{x_j, y_k + \frac{1}{2}} - c_y \left| c \right|_{x_j, y_k - \frac{1}{2}} \quad \Delta y
\]

\[
+\delta \left| c \right|_{x_j + \frac{1}{2}, y_k} - \delta \left| c \right|_{x_j - \frac{1}{2}, y_k} \quad \Delta x
\]

\[
+\delta \left| c \right|_{x_j, y_k + \frac{1}{2}} - \delta \left| c \right|_{x_j, y_k - \frac{1}{2}} \quad \Delta y
\]

\[
+\beta r(c_{j,k}) \bar{n}_{j,k}
\]
Semi-Discrete Finite-Volume Upwind Scheme

\[
\frac{d}{dt} q_{j,k} = - \frac{H^x_{j\frac{1}{2},k} - H^x_{j\frac{1}{2},k}}{\Delta x} - \frac{H^y_{j,k\frac{1}{2}} - H^y_{j,k\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta y} + \frac{P^x_{j\frac{1}{2},k} - P^x_{j\frac{1}{2},k}}{\Delta x} + \frac{P^y_{j,k\frac{1}{2}} - P^y_{j,k\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta y} + \bar{R}_{j,k},
\]

\[
H^x_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k} \approx \left( (u + \alpha r(c)c_x)n \bigg|_{(x_{j\pm\frac{1}{2}},y_k)}, u \ c \bigg|_{(x_{j\pm\frac{1}{2}},y_k)} \right)^T
\]

\[
H^y_{j,k\pm\frac{1}{2}} \approx \left( (v + \alpha r(c)c_y)n \bigg|_{(x_j,y_{k\pm\frac{1}{2}})}, v \ c \bigg|_{(x_j,y_{k\pm\frac{1}{2}})} \right)^T
\]

\[
P^x_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k} \approx \left( n_x \bigg|_{(x_{j\pm\frac{1}{2}},y_k)}, \delta c_x \bigg|_{(x_{j\pm\frac{1}{2}},y_k)} \right)^T
\]

\[
P^y_{j,k\pm\frac{1}{2}} \approx \left( n_y \bigg|_{(x_j,y_{k\pm\frac{1}{2}})}, c_y \bigg|_{(x_j,y_{k\pm\frac{1}{2}})} \right)^T, \quad \bar{R}_{j,k} = (0, \beta r(c_{j,k})\bar{n}_{j,k})^T
\]
Hyperbolic Fluxes

\[ H^x_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} \approx \begin{pmatrix} (u + \alpha r(c)c_x)(x_{j+\frac{1}{2},y_k}) \end{pmatrix} n + \begin{pmatrix} u c \end{pmatrix} (x_{j+\frac{1}{2},y_k}) \]

\[ H^y_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} \approx \begin{pmatrix} (v + \alpha r(c)c_y)(x_{j,y_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}) \end{pmatrix} n + \begin{pmatrix} v c \end{pmatrix} (x_{j,y_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}) \]

\[
H^{x,(i)}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} = \begin{cases} 
    a^{(i)}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} q_{j,k} + q^E_{j,k}, & \text{if } a^{(i)}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} > 0, \\
    a^{(i)}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} q_{j+1,k}, & \text{if } a^{(i)}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} < 0,
\end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2
\]

\[
H^{y,(i)}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{cases} 
    b^{(i)}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} q_{j,k} + q^N_{j,k}, & \text{if } b^{(i)}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} > 0, \\
    b^{(i)}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} q_{j,k+1}, & \text{if } b^{(i)}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} < 0,
\end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2
\]

Local speeds:

\[ a^{(1)}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} = u_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} + \alpha r(c_{j+\frac{1}{2},k})(c_x)_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}, \quad a^{(2)}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} = u_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} \]

\[ b^{(1)}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} = v_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} + \alpha r(c_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}})(c_y)_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad b^{(2)}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} = v_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} \]
Parabolic Fluxes

\[
P^x_{j + \frac{1}{2}, k} \approx \left( n_x \bigg|_{(x_{j + \frac{1}{2}}, y_k)} , \delta c_x \bigg|_{(x_{j + \frac{1}{2}}, y_k)} \right)^T
\]

\[
P^y_{j, k + \frac{1}{2}} \approx \left( n_y \bigg|_{(x_j, y_{k + \frac{1}{2}})} , \delta c_y \bigg|_{(x_j, y_{k + \frac{1}{2}})} \right)^T
\]

\[
P^x_{j + \frac{1}{2}, k} = \left( \frac{\bar{n}_{j+1,k} - \bar{n}_{j,k}}{\Delta x} , \delta \frac{\bar{c}_{j+1,k} - \bar{c}_{j,k}}{\Delta x} \right)^T
\]

\[
P^y_{j, k + \frac{1}{2}} = \left( \frac{\bar{n}_{j,k+1} - \bar{n}_{j,k}}{\Delta y} , \delta \frac{\bar{c}_{j,k+1} - \bar{c}_{j,k}}{\Delta y} \right)^T
\]
Centered-Difference Scheme for the Vorticity Equation

\[
\omega_t + u\omega_x + v\omega_y = \text{Sc} (\omega_{xx} + \omega_{yy}) - \gamma \text{Sc} n_x,
\]
\[
\psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy} = -\omega.
\]

Evolve the point values of \( \omega \) at the corners of the finite-volume cells:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \omega_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} = -u_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\omega_{j+\frac{3}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} - \omega_{j-\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta x} - v_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\omega_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{3}{2}} - \omega_{j+\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta y} + \text{Sc} \left[ \frac{\omega_{j+\frac{3}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} - 2\omega_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} + \omega_{j-\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{\omega_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{3}{2}} - 2\omega_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} + \omega_{j+\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(\Delta y)^2} \right] - \gamma \text{Sc}(n_x)_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\( (n_x)_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} \) is computed by the centered-difference formula

\[
(n_x)_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{(n^N_{j+1,k} + n^S_{j+1,k+1}) - (n^N_{j,k} + n^S_{j,k+1})}{2\Delta x}
\]
Velocities

Once the point values of the vorticity \( \{\omega_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}\} \) are evolved

- Solve the elliptic equation

\[
\psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy} = -\omega
\]

- Obtain the point values of the stream-function at the same set of points: \( \{\psi_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}\} \)

- Compute the velocities \( u \) and \( v \):

\[
\begin{align*}
 u_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{\psi_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{3}{2}} - \psi_{j+\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta y}, \\
 v_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} &= -\frac{\psi_{j+\frac{3}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} - \psi_{j-\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta x}, \\
 u_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} &= \frac{\psi_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} - \psi_{j+\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta y}, \\
 v_{j+\frac{1}{2},k} &= -\frac{\psi_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} - \psi_{j+\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x},
\end{align*}
\]
Boundary Conditions

Computational domain: $\Omega = [-a, a] \times [0, d]$

- The top part $\partial \Omega_{\text{top}}$ models a fluid-air surface preventing cell-flux and providing full oxygen saturation:
  \[ \alpha r(c)n c_y - n_y = 0, \quad c = 1, \quad \omega = 0, \quad \psi = 0, \quad \forall (x,y) : y = d \]

- The bottom part $\partial \Omega_{\text{bot}}$ model a solid bottom preventing cell- and oxygen-flux:
  \[ n_y = c_y = 0, \quad \psi_y = 0, \quad \omega = -\psi_{yy}, \quad \forall (x,y) : y = 0 \]

- At the sides of $\Omega_{\text{side}}$ ($x = \pm a$) the boundary conditions are periodic.

Note that the Poisson equation implies $\psi_{xx} = 0$ at the lower boundary $y = 0$, which together with the periodicity and continuity gives $\psi = \text{Const}$ at $y = 0$. Thus, $v = 0$ at $y = 0$ follows.
Numerical Boundary Conditions

• The top part $\partial \Omega_{\text{top}}$:

$$\bar{n}_{j,k_{\text{max}}+1} := \bar{n}_{j,k_{\text{max}}} e^{\alpha(1-\bar{c}_{j,k_{\text{max}}})}, \quad \bar{c}_{j,k_{\text{max}}+1} = 1$$

$$\omega_{j+\frac{1}{2},k_{\text{max}}+\frac{1}{2}} = \psi_{j+\frac{1}{2},k_{\text{max}}+\frac{1}{2}} = 0$$

where the boundary condition for $n$ is obtained by taking into account the fact that at the top $c \sim 1$ and thus $r(c) = 1$ and by integrating $(\ln n)_y = \alpha c_y$ with respect to $y$ from $y_{k_{\text{max}}}$ to $y_{k_{\text{max}}+1}$

• The bottom part $\partial \Omega_{\text{bot}}$:

$$\bar{n}_{j,0} := \bar{n}_{j,1}, \quad \bar{c}_{j,0} = \bar{c}_{j,1}$$

$$\omega_{j+\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}} = -2\frac{\psi_{j+\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2}} - \psi_{j+\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}}{(\Delta y)^2}, \quad \psi_{j+\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}} = \psi_{j+\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2}}$$

• The two sides $\partial \Omega_{\text{side}}$ are connected with periodic boundary conditions
Numerical Experiments

\[ n_t + \text{div}(u n) + \alpha \nabla \cdot [r(c)n \nabla c] = \Delta n \]
\[ c_t + \text{div}(u c) = \delta \Delta c - \beta r(c)n \]
\[ \omega_t + \text{div}(u \omega) = Sc \Delta \omega - \gamma Sc \, n_x \]
\[ \Delta \psi = -\omega \]

Computational domain: \( \Omega = [-3, 3] \times [0, 1] \)

Parameters set by the model: \( \alpha = 10, \quad \delta = 5, \quad Sc = 500 \)

Cutoff function \( r(c) \), which modulates the oxygen consumption rate:
\[
    r(c) = \begin{cases} 
        1, & \text{if } c \geq 0.3, \\
        0, & \text{if } c < 0.3. 
    \end{cases}
\]

The numerical examples will vary the initial data and the two remaining parameters \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \)
Steady-States Solutions

\[ \beta = 10, \quad \gamma = 10^3 \]

The constant initial data are

\[ n_0(x, y) \equiv \frac{\pi}{40}, \quad c_0(x, y) \equiv 1, \quad u_0(x, y) \equiv 0 \]
Plume Formation and Merging Plumes: Randomly Perturbed Homogeneous Initial Data

\[ n_t + \text{div}(un) + \alpha \nabla \cdot [r(c)n\nabla c] = \Delta n \]
\[ c_t + \text{div}(uc) = \delta \Delta c - \beta r(c)n \]
\[ \omega_t + \text{div}(u\omega) = Sc \Delta \omega - \gamma Sc n_x, \]
\[ \Delta \psi = -\omega. \]

- \( \beta = 20 \) and \( \gamma = 2 \cdot 10^3 \), which corresponds to a doubled reference density compared to the homogeneous stationary state

- Initial data:
  \[ n_0(x,y) = 0.8 + 0.2\xi, \quad c_0(x,y) \equiv 1, \quad u_0(x,y) \equiv 0 \]
  where \( \xi \) is a random variable uniformly distributed in the interval \([0, 1]\)
Numerically Nonlinearly Stable Stationary Plumes for Low Density Initial Data

- Same parameters $\beta = 10, \gamma = 10^3$ as for the homogeneous stationary state

- Deterministic initial data (small, sinusoidal modulations of the lower edge of an upper layer with a higher cell concentration than at the bottom):

$$n_0(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } y > 0.499 - 0.01 \sin((x - 1.5)\pi) \\
0.5, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

$$c_0(x, y) \equiv 1, \quad u_0(x, y) \equiv 0$$

We study the time evolution of solutions from purely deterministic initial data towards a stationary state of plumes in the absence of oxygen cut-off (this case is referred to as the shallow-chamber case).
Time-evolution of kinetic energy (left). Velocity field $u$ (right).

Aerotaxis/diffusion-component of the cell-flux $-\nabla n + \alpha n \nabla c$ (left). Fluid-driven cell-flux $u_n$ (right).
Depletion of the high cell-concentration layer near the surface and diffusion of the plumes.
Time evolution of fluid kinetic energy after switching-off the aerotaxis at time $t = 4$. 
Stationary Plumes in the Presence of the Oxygen Cut-Off for Large Density Data

- Parameters $\beta = 10^2$, $\gamma = 10^4$ correspond to a 10-times higher reference cell-density

- Same deterministic initial data:

\[
n_0(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } y > 0.499 - 0.01 \sin((x - 1.5)\pi) \\
0.5, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
c_0(x, y) \equiv 1, \quad u_0(x, y) \equiv 0
\]

We study the effects of the oxygen cut-off on the formation and stability of plumes by simply increasing the amount of cells.