

REMARK ON THE BLOW UP CONDITION TO THE INCOMPRESSIBLE VISCOELASTIC FLUID SYSTEM

Hantaek Bae

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University

251 Mercer Street, New York, NY, 10012-1185, USA, E-mail: hantaek@cims.nyu.edu

Abstract : In this paper, we study the blow-up criterion for smooth solutions to the incompressible viscoelastic fluid system in \mathbb{R}^2 by using the logarithmic Sobolev inequality. This is a refined version of the condition given by [6]. Compared with [3] and [5], the blow-up condition is expressed by a single term : the vorticity with respect to the velocity field.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the incompressible viscoelastic fluid system in the Oldroyd model

$$(VE) \begin{cases} U_t + v \cdot \nabla U = \nabla v U, \\ v_t + v \cdot \nabla v - \Delta v = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot (UU^T) \\ \nabla \cdot v = 0 \\ U(x, 0) = U_0(x), v(x, 0) = v_0 \\ (t, x) \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \end{cases}$$

where the matrix U represents the deformation tensor, v is the fluid velocity, and p is the pressure. The above system is one of the basic macroscopic models for viscoelastic flows, which corresponds to the so-called Hookean linear elasticity. For the physical background to this equation and various well-posedness results, one may check [5, 6, 10, 11] and references therein for the details. In particular, in [6], they have the following necessary condition for blow-up : Let $T^* > 0$ be a maximal time for the existence of the solution. Then, $T^* < \infty \Rightarrow \int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt = \infty$.

Recently, for the incompressible Euler equation, Planchon [12] established an improved blow-up criterion in the framework of Besov spaces : There exists a positive constant M such that if

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T-\epsilon}^T \|\Delta_j w(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt \geq M$$

then v cannot be continued beyond $t = T$. Motivated by this result, Cannone-Chen-Miao [3] obtained the corresponding result for the MHD equation:

$$(MHD) \begin{cases} v_t + v \cdot \nabla v = -\nabla p - \frac{1}{2} \nabla b^2 + b \cdot \nabla b \\ b_t + v \cdot \nabla b = b \cdot \nabla v \\ \nabla \cdot v = \nabla \cdot b = 0 \\ b(x, 0) = b_0(x), v(x, 0) = v_0 \end{cases}$$

where v and b describe the velocity and the magnetic field vector, respectively. Unfortunately, they cannot apply the method used in [12] directly, and they overcome this difficulty by obtaining

a losing estimate for the MHD equation, which is studied in [5], and further established a blow-up criterion of smooth solution for the MHD equation : Let $(v_0, b_0) \in B_{p,q}^s$, $s > \frac{d}{p} + 1$, $1 \leq p, q < \infty$. Suppose that $(v, b) \in C([0, T]; B_{p,q}^s) \cap C^1([0, T]; B_{p,q}^{s-1})$ is the smooth solution to (MHD). There exists an absolute constant $M > 0$ such that If

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{T-\epsilon}^T \|\Delta_j(\nabla \times v)(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\Delta_j(\nabla \times b)(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt \geq M$$

then v cannot be continued beyond $t = T$. Now, we want to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM: Let $U_0 \in H^s$, $v_0 \in H^{s-1}$ with $s > 1$. Suppose that $v \in C([0, T]; H^{s-1})$, $U \in C([0, T]; H^s)$ are the smooth solutions to the incompressible viscoelastic fluid system. Then there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

(i) If $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{T-\sigma}^T \|\Delta_j \nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt = \delta < M$, then $\delta = 0$, and the solutions can be extended beyond T .

(ii) If $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{T-\sigma}^T \|\Delta_j \nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt \geq M$, then the solutions blow up at $t = T$.

Remark: As explained in [12], we cannot say $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^T \|\Delta_j \nabla v(t)\| dt < \infty$ as a non-blowup condition. But, if we keep $\{\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\}$ inside of the time integration in (8), then we recover the condition used in [8] in the context of the Navier-Stokes equation. Of course, our criterion is an improved version of the criterion given by [6], and our result is better than the results in [5] because we only have one term in the criterion. We started with initial data $(v_0, U_0) \in H^{s-1} \times H^s$ which is less regular than initial data used in [3,5,6,12] because we are using the Laplacian to gain some derivatives.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM

(1) BIOT-SAVART LAW, LITTLEWOOD-PALEY THEORY

Since the divergence of v is 0, there exists a scalar function ψ such that $v = \nabla^\perp \psi$. Then the vorticity $w = \nabla \times v$ satisfies $w = -\Delta \psi$. Therefore, we can recover v from w by $v = \nabla^\perp \Delta^{-1} w$, which is called the Biot-Savart Law. And ∇v is the image of w under the singular integral operators of the Calderon-Zygmund type. One may then freely pass from ∇v to w in $\int_0^T \|\Delta_j \nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt$ in the above theorem since the singular integral operators are bounded on $\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^0$. Now, we briefly introduce the Littlewood-Paley Theory. We first have the following Littlewood-Paley Decomposition.[5]

Proposition 1. Let us denote by $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ the space of C^∞ functions whose support is compact and included in Ω . Let us define \mathcal{C} to be the ring of center 0 of small radius $\frac{1}{2}$ and great radius 2. There exist two nonnegative radial functions χ and ψ belonging, respectively, to $\mathcal{D}(B(0, 1))$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ so that

$$\chi(\xi) + \sum_{q \geq 0} \psi(2^{-q} \xi) = 1, \quad |p - q| \geq 2 \Rightarrow \psi(2^{-p} \xi) \cdot \psi(2^{-q} \xi) = 0$$

For example, one can take $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(B(0, 1))$ such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on $B(0, \frac{1}{2})$ and take $\psi(\xi) = \chi(2\xi) - \chi(\xi)$. Then we are able to define the Littlewood-Paley decomposition. Let $h, \tilde{h}, \Delta_q, S_q$ be defined as follow. Denoting by \mathcal{F} the Fourier transform,

$$h = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi, \quad \tilde{h} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi, \quad \Delta_q u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi(2^{-q}\xi)\hat{u}), \quad S_q u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-q}\xi)\hat{u})$$

The set $\{S_q, \Delta_q\}_{q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$ is the Littlewood-Paley decomposition of unity. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $p, q \in [1, \infty]$. Then the inhomogeneous and homogeneous Besov seminorms are defined, respectively, by

$$\|u\|_{B_{p,q}^s} = \|S_0 u\|_{L^p} + \left(\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{qjs} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{qjs} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

We also define time dependent seminorms.

$$\|u\|_{L_T^\rho B_{p,q}^s} = \|S_0 u\|_{L_T^\rho L^p} + \left\| \left(\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{qjs} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_T^\rho}, \quad \|u\|_{L_T^\rho \dot{B}_{p,q}^s} = \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{qjs} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_T^\rho}$$

Let us point out that $B_{2,2}^s$ is a usual Sobolev space H^s and that $B_{\infty,\infty}^s$ is the usual Holder space C^s . Now we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2. (a) Bernstein's inequality : for $1 \leq a \leq b$, $\|\Delta_q f\|_{L^b} \lesssim 2^{d(\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{b})q} \|\Delta_q f\|_{L^a}$

(b) Assume that $f \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $\text{supp} \hat{f} \subset \{2^{j-2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^j\}$. Then there exists a constant C_k such that $C_k^{-1} 2^{jk} \|f\|_{L^p} \leq \|D^k f\|_{L^p} \leq C_k 2^{jk} \|f\|_{L^p}$

(c) Commutator estimate : $\|[f \cdot \nabla, \Delta_j]g\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \|\Delta_j g\|_{L^p}$

The proof is standard and can be found in [4, 5, 7].

(2) A NEW FORMULATION

With the introduction of the deformation tensor, the incompressibility of the fluid can be represented as $(\det U = 1)$. Moreover, if we denote $(\nabla \cdot U)_j = \partial_i U^{ij}$, we deduce from (VE) [11]

$$(\nabla \cdot U)_t + v \cdot \nabla (\nabla \cdot U) = 0$$

In two space dimension, when $\nabla \cdot U_0 = 0$, (1) ensures that $\nabla \cdot U = 0$ for all time. Therefore, we can find a vector $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$ such that [10]

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_y \phi_1 & -\partial_y \phi_2 \\ \partial_x \phi_1 & \partial_x \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then (VE) can be reformulated as

$$(VE) \begin{cases} \phi_t + v \cdot \nabla \phi = 0 \\ v_t + v \cdot \nabla v - \Delta v = -\nabla p - \sum_{i=1}^2 \Delta \phi_i \nabla \phi_i \\ \nabla \cdot v = 0 \\ \phi(x, 0) = \phi_0(x), v(x, 0) = v_0 \end{cases}$$

(3) A PRIORI ESTIMATE

By taking the localized operator Δ_j to the velocity equation, multiplying by $\Delta_j v$, and integrating in the spatial variables, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \Delta_j v\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \| [v \cdot \nabla, \Delta_j] v \|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j v\|_{L^2} + (\Delta_j (\nabla \cdot (\nabla \phi \nabla \phi)), \Delta_j v)$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the inner product in L^2 space.

Using the fact $\| [v \cdot \nabla, \Delta_j] v \|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_j v\|_{L^2}$, and integrating the last term by parts,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \Delta_j v\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_j v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j (\nabla \phi \nabla \phi)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \Delta_j v\|_{L^2}^2$$

Multiplying by $2^{j(s-1)}$ and adding them up, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^s}^2 \lesssim \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|v(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + \|\nabla \phi(t)\|_{H^s}^4 \quad (1)$$

Similarly, $\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \phi(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \phi(t)\|_{H^s}$. Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \phi(t)\|_{H^s}^4 = 4 \|\nabla \phi(t)\|_{H^s}^3 \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \phi(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \phi(t)\|_{H^s}^4 \quad (2)$$

(4) EQUATION OF THE VORTICITY

By applying curl to the velocity equation, we have

$$w_t + v \cdot \nabla w - \Delta w = \nabla \times (\nabla \phi \Delta \phi)$$

Multiplying by w and integrating in the spatial variables, we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|\Delta \phi \nabla \phi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta \phi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla \phi\|_{H^s}^2 \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}$$

Here, we use the fact that $s > 1$. Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \phi\|_{H^s}^4 \quad (3)$$

Remark: $\|w\|_{L_T^\infty L^2}$ comes from the estimate of $\|\nabla v\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty}$ below. But, we are mentioning $\|w\|_{L_T^\infty L^2}$ before $\|\nabla v\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty}$ for convenience. As we'll see later, the vorticity estimates stem from the lower frequency part of the gradient of the velocity, which is convolved with a nice function. The vorticity equation almost preserves the Navier-Stokes equation, and we are only concerned about the L^2 bound, which is easily obtained from (3).

(5) CALCULATION OF $\|\nabla v\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty}$

By integrating (1), (2), and (3) in time, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + \int_0^t \|v(\tau)\|_{H^s}^2 d\tau &\lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla v(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|v(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 d\tau + \int_0^t \|\nabla \phi(\tau)\|_{H^s}^4 d\tau \\ &\lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + \|\nabla v\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H^{s-1}}^2 + T \cdot \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}^4 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\|\nabla \phi(t)\|_{H^s}^4 \lesssim \|\nabla \phi_0\|_{H^s}^4 + \int_0^t \|\nabla v(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \phi(\tau)\|_{H^s}^4 d\tau \lesssim \|\nabla \phi_0\|_{H^s}^4 + \|\nabla v\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}^4 \quad (5)$$

$$\|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|w_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \phi(\tau)\|_{H^s}^4 d\tau \lesssim \|w_0\|_{L^2}^2 + T \cdot \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}^4 \quad (6)$$

Now, we need to estimate $\|\nabla v\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty}$. First, we decompose $\nabla v(t)$ in the following way:

$$\nabla v(t) = \nabla S_{-N} v(t) + \sum_{|j| \leq N} \nabla \Delta_j v(t) + \sum_{j > N} \nabla \Delta_j v(t)$$

where N will be determined later. Integrating in the spatial variables, we have that

$$\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla S_{-N} v(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{|j| \leq N} \|\nabla \Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{j > N} \|\nabla \Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^\infty}$$

On the first term, we use Bernstein's inequality so that

$$\|\nabla S_{-N} v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-N} \|S_{-N} \nabla v(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{-N} \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{-N} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}$$

where we used the Biot-Savart Law to the last inequality. We estimate the third term by using Bernstein's inequality and Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j > N} \|\nabla \Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \sum_{j > N} 2^{j(1+\frac{d}{2})} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2} = \sum_{j > N} 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &= \sum_{j > N} 2^{j(-s+1)} \cdot 2^{j(s+1)} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{-N(s-1)} \cdot \|v(t)\|_{H^{s+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda = \min\{1, s - 1\} > 0$. Integrating in time,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} &\lesssim 2^{-N\lambda} \{ \|w\|_{L_T^1 L^2} + \|v\|_{L_T^1 H^{s+1}} \} + (2N + 1) \sup_{|j| \leq N} \int_0^T \|\Delta_j \nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt \\ &\lesssim 2^{-N\lambda} \{ T \|w\|_{L_T^\infty L^2} + \|v\|_{L_T^1 H^{s+1}} \} + (2N + 1) \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^T \|\Delta_j \nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt \end{aligned}$$

Now, we would like to estimate $\|v\|_{L_T^1 H^{s+1}}$. It comes from the estimate of the inhomogeneous heat equation

$$(H) \begin{cases} v_t - \Delta v = -v \cdot \nabla v - \nabla \cdot (\nabla \phi \nabla \phi) = f \\ v(x, 0) = v_0 \in H^{s-1} \end{cases}$$

The solution can be expressed as an integral form :

$$v(t) = e^{t\Delta} v_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} f(s) ds$$

Since $s > 1$, $f = -v \cdot \nabla v - \Delta \phi \nabla \phi \in L_T^1 H^{s-1}$. From now on, we assume that $T > 1$ and we estimate terms in the time interval $[1, T]$. Then,

$$\|v\|_{L_T^1 H^{s+1}} \lesssim \log T \{ \|v(1)\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|f\|_{L_T^1 H^{s-1}} \} \lesssim \log T \{ \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H^{s-1}} + \|f\|_{L_T^1 H^{s-1}} \}$$

Therefore, by the assumption on $T > 1$,

$$\|v\|_{L_T^1 H^{s+1}} \lesssim \log T \{ \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|v\|_{L_T^2 H^s}^2 + \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^2 H^s}^2 \} \lesssim T \{ \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|v\|_{L_T^2 H^s}^2 + T \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}^2 \}$$

But, from (4), $\|v\|_{L_T^2 H^s}^2 \lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\nabla v\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H^{s-1}}^2 + T \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}^4$.

$$\|v\|_{L_T^1 H^{s+1}} \lesssim T \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}} + T \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + T \|\nabla v\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H^{s-1}}^2 + T^2 \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}^4$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} &\lesssim 2^{-N\lambda} \{ T \|w\|_{L_T^\infty L^2} + T \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}} + T \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + T^2 \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}^4 + T^2 \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}^2 \} \\ &\quad + 2^{-N\lambda} T \|\nabla v\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H^{s-1}}^2 + (2N + 1) \cdot \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^T \|\Delta_j \nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt \\ &\lesssim 2^{-N\lambda} \{ T \|w_0\|_{L^2} + T \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}} + T \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + T^2 \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}^4 + T^2 \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}^2 \} \\ &\quad + 2^{-N\lambda} T \|\nabla v\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H^{s-1}}^2 + (2N + 1) \cdot \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^T \|\Delta_j \nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

(6) LOGARITHMIC ESTIMATE

We establish the logarithmic Sobolev inequality in the framework of mixed time-space Besov space.

From (7),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{1 - 2^{-N\lambda} T \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H^{s-1}}^2\} \|\nabla v\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-N\lambda} \{T \|w_0\|_{L^2} + T \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}} + T \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + T^2 \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}^4 + T^2 \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}^2\} \\
& + (2N + 1) \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^T \|\Delta_j \nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt \\
& \lesssim 2^{-N\lambda} T^2 \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}^4 + 2^{-N\lambda} \{T^2 + T \|w_0\|_{L^2} + T \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}} + T \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}}^2\} \\
& + (2N + 1) \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^T \|\Delta_j \nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mu(T) = \|w\|_{L_T^\infty L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H^{s-1}}^2 + \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}^4$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{1 - 2^{-N\lambda} \cdot T \cdot \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H^{s-1}}^2\} \cdot \|\nabla v\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-N\lambda} \cdot T^2 \cdot \mu(T) + 2^{-N\lambda} \cdot T^2 \{1 + \|w_0\|_{L^2} + \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}}^2\} + (2N + 1) \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^T \|\Delta_j \nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt
\end{aligned}$$

If we choose $N \sim \frac{1}{\lambda} \log(T\mu(T))$,

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \lesssim T + T \{1 + \|w_0\|_{L^2} + \|v_0\|_{H^{s-1}}^2\} + (1 + \log(1 + T\mu(T))) \cdot \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^T \|\Delta_j \nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt$$

By (4), (5), and (6),

$$\mu(T) \lesssim \mu(0) + T\mu(T) + T(\mu(0))^2 \mu(T) + \{(1 + \log(1 + T\mu(T))) \cdot \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^T \|\Delta_j \nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt\} \mu(T)$$

This inequality still holds if the time interval $[1, T]$ is replaced by $[T - \sigma, T]$. So, we infer that $\mu(T)$ can be dominated by $\mu(T - \sigma)$ from the following inequality :

$$\mu(T) \lesssim \mu(T - \sigma) + g(\sigma) \cdot \mu(T) \cdot \{1 + \log(1 + \sigma\mu(T))\} \tag{8}$$

where $g(\sigma) = \sigma + \sigma(\mu(T - \sigma))^2 + \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{T-\sigma}^T \|\Delta_j \nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt$ is a function such that $g(\sigma)$ tends to 0 as σ goes to 0. Since σ does not depend on T , this completes the proof of the Theorem. ■

Acknowledgements : The author would like to deeply thank Professor Ping Zhang for kind help, suggestions, and encouragement.

References

1. J. T. Beale, T. Kato, A. Majda : Remarks on the Breakdown of Smooth Solutions for the 3-D Euler Equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **94**(1) (1984), 61-66
2. J.M. Bony : Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularities pour les equations aux derivees partielles non lineaires. *Ann. de l'Ecole Norm. Sup.* **14** (1981)

3. M. Cannone, Q. Chen, C. Miao : A Losing Estimate for the Ideal MHD Equations with Application to Blow-up Criterion, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **38**(6) (2007), 1847-1859
4. J.Y. Chemin : *Perfect Incompressible Fluids*, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1998
5. J. Y. Chemin, N. Masmoudi : About Lifespan of Regular Solutions of Equations Related to Viscoelastic Fluids, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **33** (2001), 84-112
6. Y. Chen, P. Zhang : The Global Existence of Small Solutions to the Incompressible Viscoelastic Fluid System in 2 and 3 Space Dimensions, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **31** (2006), 1793-1810
7. R. Danchin : *Fourier Analysis Methods for PDE's* (2005)
8. H. Kozono, T. Okawa, Y. Taniuchi : The Critical Sobolev Inequalities in Besov Spaces and Regularity Criterion to Some Semi-linear Evolution Equations, *Math. Z.* **242** (2002), 251-278
9. H. Kozono, Y. Taniuchi : Limiting Case of the Sobolev Inequality in BMO, with Application to the Euler Equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **214**(1) (2000), 191-200
10. F. Lin, C. Liu, P. Zhang : On Hydrodynamics of Viscoelastic Fluids, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **58** (2005), 1437-1471
11. C. Liu, N. J. Walkington : An Eulerian Description of Fluids Containing Visco-Elastic Particles, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **159** (2001), 229-252
12. F. Planchon : An Extension of the Beale-Kato-Majda Criterion for the Euler Equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **232** (2003), 319-326