

Fluctuations and deviations in MFG

Ki-Net Conference Maryland

18-21 April 2017

François Delarue (Nice – J.-A. Dieudonné)

April 19th 2017

Joint works: Cardaliaguet, Lasry and Lions; Carmona; Lacker and Ramanan

Part I. Motivation

N -Particle System

- N interacting **controlled** players (state in \mathbb{R}^d)

- dynamics of player number $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$dX_t^i = \alpha_t^i dt + dW_t^i \quad , \quad X_0^i = x_0, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

- **independent** noises W^1, \dots, W^N ,

- choose control $\underbrace{\alpha_t^i}_{\text{at any } t} = \text{prog. meas. w.r.t. } \sigma(W^1, \dots, W^N, \cdot)$

N -Particle System

- N interacting **controlled** players (state in \mathbb{R}^d)

- dynamics of player number $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$dX_t^i = \alpha_t^i dt + dW_t^i + \sqrt{\eta} dB_t, \quad X_0^i = x_0, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

- **independent** noises $W^1, \dots, W^N, B, \eta > 0$

- choose control $\underbrace{\alpha_t^i}_{\text{at any } t} = \text{prog. meas. w.r.t. } \sigma(W^1, \dots, W^N, B)$

N-Particle System

- N interacting **controlled** players (state in \mathbb{R}^d)
 - dynamics of player number $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$dX_t^i = \alpha_t^i dt + dW_t^i + \sqrt{\eta} dB_t, \quad X_0^i = x_0, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

- **independent** noises $W^1, \dots, W^N, B, \bar{\mu}_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{X_t^j}$
- choose control $\alpha_t^i = \alpha^i(t, X_t^1, \dots, X_t^N) \rightsquigarrow$ **implicit formulation**

N-Particle System

- N interacting **controlled** players (state in \mathbb{R}^d)
 - dynamics of player number $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$dX_t^i = \alpha_t^i dt + dW_t^i + \sqrt{\eta} dB_t, \quad X_0^i = x_0, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

- **independent** noises $W^1, \dots, W^N, B, \bar{\mu}_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{X_t^j}$
 - choose control $\alpha_t^i = \alpha^i(t, X_t^1, \dots, X_t^N) \rightsquigarrow$ **implicit formulation**
- Willing to minimize cost $J^i(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N)$ with **mean-field interaction**

$$J^i(\dots) = \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T^i, \bar{\mu}_T^N) + \int_0^T f(X_t^i, \bar{\mu}_t^N, \alpha_t^i) dt \right]$$

- $g(x, \mu)$ and $f(x, \mu, \alpha)$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\alpha \in A \subset \mathbb{R}^k$
 - f convex in $\alpha \rightsquigarrow$ typical instance $f(x, \mu, \alpha) = f(x, \mu) + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2$

Nash equilibrium

- If each particle / player decides in its own way to minimize

$$J^i(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N)$$

- depends on the others! \Rightarrow consensus? \leadsto Nash equilibrium
- N -tuple $(\alpha^{1,\star}, \dots, \alpha^{N,\star}) =$ equilibrium if **no incentive to quit**
 - if unilateral change $\alpha^{i,\star} \leadsto \alpha^i \Rightarrow J^i \nearrow$

$$J^i(\alpha^{1,\star}, \dots, \alpha^{i,\star}, \dots, \alpha^{N,\star}) \leq J^i(\alpha^{1,\star}, \dots, \alpha^i, \dots, \alpha^{N,\star})$$

- **Meaning of the freezing** $\alpha^{1,\star}, \dots, \alpha^{i-1,\star}, \alpha^{i+1,\star}, \alpha^{N,\star}$?
 - closed loop control $\leadsto \alpha_t^i = \alpha^i(t, X_t^1, \dots, X_t^N) \leadsto$ players choose their strategy depending on the states of the others \leadsto SDE
 - **freezing** means **freezing the functions** $\alpha^{\star,1}, \dots, \alpha^{\star,N}$ and not **the processes**
- N -particle system \leadsto **N -player game**

Nash System

- N fixed \leadsto N player game equilibrium described by PDE system
 - unique Markovian equilibrium with bounded feedback function
- \leadsto given by $N \times (Nd)$ Nash system $\leadsto v^{N,i}$ value function to player i

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \Delta_{x_j} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{j,k} \text{Tr} \partial_{x_j, x_k}^2 v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ - \sum_{j \neq i} \partial_{x_j} v^{N,j}(t, \mathbf{x}) \cdot \partial_{x_j} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ - \frac{1}{2} |\partial_{x_i} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 + f(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- mean field $\bar{\mu}_x^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{x_j}$ $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$
 - boundary condition $v^{N,i}(T, \mathbf{x}) = g(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)$
- $v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) =$ equilibrium cost to player i when

the system starts from \mathbf{x} at time t

Nash System

- N fixed \leadsto N player game equilibrium described by PDE system
 - unique Markovian equilibrium with bounded feedback function
- \leadsto given by $N \times (Nd)$ Nash system $\leadsto v^{N,i}$ value function to player i

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \Delta_{x_j} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{j,k} \text{Tr} \partial_{x_j, x_k}^2 v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ - \sum_{j \neq i} \partial_{x_j} v^{N,j}(t, \mathbf{x}) \cdot \partial_{x_j} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ - \frac{1}{2} |\partial_{x_i} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 + f(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- mean field $\bar{\mu}_x^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{x_j}$ $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$
 - boundary condition $v^{N,i}(T, \mathbf{x}) = g(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)$
- Trajectories at equilibrium

$$dX_t^i = -\partial_{x_i} v^{N,i}(t, X_t^1, \dots, X_t^N) dt + dW_t + \sqrt{\eta} dB_t$$

Nash System

- N fixed \leadsto N player game equilibrium described by PDE system
 - unique Markovian equilibrium with bounded feedback function
- \leadsto given by $N \times (Nd)$ Nash system $\leadsto v^{N,i}$ value function to player i

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \Delta_{x_j} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{j,k} \text{Tr} \partial_{x_j, x_k}^2 v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ - \sum_{j \neq i} \partial_{x_j} v^{N,j}(t, \mathbf{x}) \cdot \partial_{x_j} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ - \frac{1}{2} |\partial_{x_i} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 + f(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- mean field $\bar{\mu}_x^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{x_j}$ $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$
 - boundary condition $v^{N,i}(T, \mathbf{x}) = g(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)$
- Well-posed system with bounded gradient and solution is symmetric

$$\begin{aligned} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) &= v^N(t, x_i, (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots)) \\ v^N(\cdot, \cdot) &\text{ symmetric in the second argument} \end{aligned}$$

Nash System

- N fixed \leadsto N player game equilibrium described by PDE system
 - unique Markovian equilibrium with bounded feedback function
- \leadsto given by $N \times (Nd)$ Nash system $\leadsto v^{N,i}$ value function to player i

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \Delta_{x_j} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{j,k} \text{Tr} \partial_{x_j x_k}^2 v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ - \sum_{j \neq i} \partial_{x_j} v^{N,j}(t, \mathbf{x}) \cdot \partial_{x_j} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ - \frac{1}{2} |\partial_{x_i} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 + f(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- mean field $\bar{\mu}_x^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{x_j}$ $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$
- boundary condition $v^{N,i}(T, \mathbf{x}) = g(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)$
- Guess is $v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \approx \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)$ with $\mathcal{U} : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
 - $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^i}$ should be close the empirical distribution of

$$d\hat{X}_t^i = -\partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, \hat{X}_t^i, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\hat{X}_t^i}) dt + dW_t^i + \sqrt{\eta} dB_t$$

Part II. Master equation

Differential calculus on Wasserstein space

- Goal is to write a PDE for \mathcal{U} by plugging $u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)$ as nearly solution of Nash

- use differential calculus on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightsquigarrow$ Lions' approach

- Given $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightsquigarrow$ define **lifting of \mathcal{U}**

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}} : L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{P}) \ni X \mapsto \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}(X) = \text{Law}(X))$$

- \mathcal{U} differentiable if $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ **Fréchet differentiable**

- **Differential of \mathcal{U}** \rightsquigarrow Fréchet derivative of $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$

$$D\hat{\mathcal{U}}(X) = \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(\mu)(X), \quad \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(\mu) : \mathbb{R}^d \ni x \mapsto \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(\mu)(x) \quad \mu = \mathcal{L}(X)$$

- derivative of \mathcal{U} in $\mu \rightsquigarrow \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(\mu) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu; \mathbb{R}^d)$

- **Finite-dimensional projection**

$$\partial_{x_i} \left[\mathcal{U} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{x_j} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{N} \partial_\mu \mathcal{U} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{x_j} \right) (x_i), \quad x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

- **Example:** $U(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(y) d\mu(y) \Rightarrow \partial_\mu U(\mu)(v) = \nabla h(v)$

Second-order differentiability

- Need for **existence of second-order derivatives**
 - asking the lift to be twice Fréchet is too strong
 - only discuss the existence of second-order partial derivatives
- Requires
 - $\partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(\mu)(v)$ is differentiable in v and μ

$$\partial_v \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(\mu)(v) \quad \partial_\mu^2 \mathcal{U}(\mu)(v, v')$$

- $\partial_v \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(\mu)(v)$ and $\partial_\mu^2 \mathcal{U}(\mu)(v, v')$ continuous in (μ, v, v') (for W_2 in μ) with suitable growth

- **Finite-dimensional projection**

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 \left[\mathcal{U} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{x_k} \right) \right] &= \frac{1}{N} \partial_v \partial_\mu \mathcal{U} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{x_k} \right) (x_i) \delta_{i,j} \\ &+ \frac{1}{N^2} \partial_\mu^2 \mathcal{U} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{x_k} \right) (x_i, x_j) \end{aligned}$$

Connection with the master equation

- Strategy is to regard $u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)$ as nearly solution
- First-order terms

$$\partial_{x_j} u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) + O(\frac{1}{N}) & \text{if } j = i \\ \frac{1}{N} \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)(x_j) & \text{if } j \neq i \end{cases}$$

- Hamiltonian

$$-\frac{1}{2} |\partial_{x_i} u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 + f(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) = -\frac{1}{2} |\partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)|^2 + f(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) + O(\frac{1}{N})$$

- drift terms

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{j \neq i} \partial_{x_j} u^{N,j}(t, \mathbf{x}) \cdot \partial_{x_j} u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i} \partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, x_j, \bar{\mu}_x^N) \cdot \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)(x_j) + O(\frac{1}{N}) \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, v, \bar{\mu}_x^N) \cdot \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)(v) d\bar{\mu}_x^N(v) + O(\frac{1}{N}) \end{aligned}$$

- up to $O(\frac{1}{N}) \rightsquigarrow$ yields first order terms of a PDE for \mathcal{U}

Form of the master equation

- Treat second order terms in the same way and get that \mathcal{U} should satisfy **Master equation** at order 2

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \mathcal{U}(t, x, \mu) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, \mathbf{v}, \mu) \cdot \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(t, x, \mu, \mathbf{v}) d\mu(\mathbf{v}) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} |\partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, x, \mu)|^2 + f(x, \mu) + \frac{1}{2} (1 + \eta) \text{Trace}(\partial_x^2 \mathcal{U}(t, x, \mu)) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (1 + \eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{Trace}(\partial_v \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(t, x, \mu)(\mathbf{v})) d\mu(\mathbf{v}) \\ & + \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{Trace}(\partial_x \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(t, x, \mu)(\mathbf{v})) d\mu(\mathbf{v}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{Trace}(\partial_\mu^2 \mathcal{U}(t, x, \mu)(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}')) d\mu(\mathbf{v}) d\mu(\mathbf{v}') = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- **Not a proof of existence of a smooth solution!**
 - This should be proved first

Connection with the MFG system ($\eta = 0$)

- Regard \mathcal{U} as the generalized value function of the MFG system

- $\mathcal{U}(t_0, x_0, \mu^0) = u^{\mu: \mu_{t_0} = \mu^0}(t_0, x_0)$

- Optimization in environment $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \rightsquigarrow$ HJB equation

- $u(t, x) =$ minimal cost under $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ when $X_t = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\partial_t u(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u(t, x) - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} |\partial_x u(t, x)|^2}_{\text{HJB}} + f(x, \mu_t) = 0$$

$$\inf_{\alpha} [\alpha \cdot \partial_x u(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2]$$

$$u(T, x) = g(x, \mu_T)$$

- Dynamics of $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$

- Fokker-Planck with optimal feedback is $\alpha^*(t, x) = -\partial_x u(t, x)$

$$\partial_t \mu_t - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mu_t - \operatorname{div}(\mu_t \partial_x u(t, x)) = 0 \quad \begin{cases} t \in [0, T] \\ \mu_0 = \delta_{x_0} \end{cases}$$

- marginal law of diffusion process

$$dX_t^* = -\partial_x u(t, X_t^*) dt + dW_t = -\partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, X_t^*, \mathcal{L}(X_t^*)) dt + dW_t$$

Connection with the MFG system ($\eta > 0$)

- Regard \mathcal{U} as the generalized value function of the MFG system

- $\mathcal{U}(t_0, x_0, \mu^0) = u^{\mu: \mu_{t_0} = \mu^0}(t_0, x_0)$

- Optimization in environment $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \rightsquigarrow$ HJB equation

- $u(t, x) =$ minimal cost under $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ when $X_t = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\partial_t u(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u(t, x) - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} |\partial_x u(t, x)|^2}_{\inf_{\alpha} [\alpha \cdot \partial_x u(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2]} + f(x, \mu_t) = 0$$

$$u(T, x) = g(x, \mu_T)$$

- Dynamics of $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$

- Fokker-Planck with optimal feedback is $\alpha^*(t, x) = -\partial_x u(t, x)$

$$\partial_t \mu_t - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mu_t - \operatorname{div}(\mu_t \partial_x u(t, x)) + \sqrt{\eta} \operatorname{div}\left(\mu_t \frac{dB_t}{dt}\right) = 0$$

- marginal law of diffusion process

$$dX_t^* = -\partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, X_t^*, \mathcal{L}(X_t^* | B)) dt + dW_t + \sqrt{\eta} dB_t$$

Solving the master equation

- Well posedness of \mathcal{U} requires $\exists!$ for MFG system
- Need additional **monotonicity condition to prevent shocks**
 - **Lasry-Lions monotonicity** in direction μ (same with g)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x, \mu) - f(x, \mu')) d(\mu - \mu')(x) \geq 0$$

- Example: let L be \nearrow and ρ be even and set

$$h(x, \mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L(\rho \star \mu(z)) \rho(x - z) dz$$

- **Linearization** \rightsquigarrow differentiability in $\mu^0 \rightsquigarrow$ use convex perturbation
 - requires smooth coefficients with bounded derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0+} u^{(1-\varepsilon)\mu + \varepsilon\mu'}(t_0, \cdot) &= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0+} \mathcal{U}(t_0, \cdot, (1-\varepsilon)\mu + \varepsilon\mu') \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{V}(t_0, \cdot, \mu)(y) d(\mu' - \mu)(y) \end{aligned}$$

- $\partial_y \mathcal{V}(t_0, \cdot, \mu)(y) = \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(t_0, \cdot, \mu)(y)$

Part III. Convergence

Connection Nash system/master equation

- Now it makes sense to let $u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)$
- Using smoothness of \mathcal{U} at order 2 \leadsto we show

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \Delta_{x_j} u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{j,k} \text{Tr} D_{x_j, x_k}^2 u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ - \sum_{j \neq i} \partial_{x_j} u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \cdot \partial_{x_j} u^{N,j}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ - \frac{1}{2} |\partial_{x_i} u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 + (f(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) + \underbrace{r^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x})}_{|r^{N,i}| \leq C/N}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

◦ with $\bar{x}^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j$

- Propagation of reminder $O(1/N)$ among N players?

Comparison of value functions

- Equilibrium trajectories of the N player game

$$dX_t^{N,i} = -\partial_{x_i} v^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,1}, \dots, X_t^{N,N})dt + dW_t^i + \sqrt{\eta}dB_t$$

- Value processes

$$Y_t^{N,i} = v^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,1}, \dots, X_t^{N,N}), \quad Z_t^{N,i,j} = \partial_{x_j} v^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,1}, \dots, X_t^{N,N})$$

$$\hat{Y}_t^{N,i} = u^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,1}, \dots, X_t^{N,N}), \quad \hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j} = \partial_{x_j} u^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,1}, \dots, X_t^{N,N})$$

- Itô's formula

$$dY_t^{N,i} = -\left(\frac{1}{2}|Z_t^{N,i,i}|^2 + f(X_t^{N,i}, \bar{\mu}_t^N)\right)dt + \sum_j Z_t^{N,i,j} \cdot (dW_t^j + \sqrt{\eta}dB_t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{Y}_t^{N,i} &= -\left(\frac{1}{2}|\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,i}|^2 + f(X_t^{N,i}, \bar{\mu}_t^N) + r^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,i})\right)dt \\ &+ \sum_j \hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j} \cdot (\hat{Z}_t^{N,j,j} - Z_t^{N,j,j})dt + \sum_j \hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j} \cdot (dW_t^j + \sqrt{\eta}dB_t) \end{aligned}$$

with $Y_T^{N,i} = g(X_T^i, \bar{\mu}_T^N)$ and $\hat{Y}_T^{N,i} = g(X_T^i, \bar{\mu}_T^N)$, and $\bar{\mu}_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \delta_{X_t^{N,j}}$

Stability argument

- Difference between two dynamics

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(\hat{Y}_t^{N,i} - Y_t^{N,i}) \\
 &= -\left[\frac{1}{2} |\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,i}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |Z_t^{N,i,i}|^2 + \underbrace{r^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,i})}_{\sim C/N} \right] dt \\
 &+ \sum_j \underbrace{\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j}}_{\leq C/N \text{ if } i \neq j} (\hat{Z}_t^{N,j,j} - Z_t^{N,j,j}) dt \\
 &+ \sum_j (\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - Z_t^{N,i,j}) \cdot dW_t^j + \left(\sum_j \hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - \sum_j Z_t^{N,i,j} \right) \cdot \sqrt{\eta} dB_t
 \end{aligned}$$

- Observe that $\hat{Y}_T^{N,i} = Y_T^{N,i}$
 - if no dt terms except $O(1/N)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \hat{Y}_t^{N,i} - Y_t^{N,i} \\
 &+ \int_t^T \sum_j (\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - Z_t^{N,i,j}) \cdot dW_s^j + \left(\sum_j \hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - \sum_j Z_t^{N,i,j} \right) \cdot \sqrt{\eta} dB_s = O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Stability argument

- Difference between two dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} & d(\hat{Y}_t^{N,i} - Y_t^{N,i}) \\ &= -\left[\frac{1}{2} |\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,i}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |Z_t^{N,i,i}|^2 + \underbrace{r^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,i})}_{\sim C/N} \right] dt \\ & \quad + \sum_j \underbrace{\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j}}_{\leq C/N \text{ if } i \neq j} (\hat{Z}_t^{N,j,j} - Z_t^{N,j,j}) dt \\ & \quad + \sum_j (\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - Z_t^{N,i,j}) \cdot dW_t^j + \left(\sum_j \hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - \sum_j Z_t^{N,i,j} \right) \cdot \sqrt{\eta} dB_t \end{aligned}$$

- Observe that $\hat{Y}_T^{N,i} = Y_T^{N,i}$
 - if no dt terms except $O(1/N)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[|\hat{Y}_t^{N,i} - Y_t^{N,i}|^2] \\ & + \mathbb{E} \int_t^T \sum_j |\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - Z_t^{N,i,j}|^2 + \eta \mathbb{E} \int_t^T \left| \sum_j \hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - \sum_j Z_t^{N,i,j} \right|^2 ds = O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Stability argument

- Difference between two dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} & d(\hat{Y}_t^{N,i} - Y_t^{N,i}) \\ &= -\left[\frac{1}{2} |\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,i}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |Z_t^{N,i,i}|^2 + \underbrace{r^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,i})}_{\sim C/N} \right] dt \\ & \quad + \sum_j \underbrace{\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j}}_{\leq C/N \text{ if } i \neq j} (\hat{Z}_t^{N,j,j} - Z_t^{N,j,j}) dt \\ & \quad + \sum_j (\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - Z_t^{N,i,j}) \cdot dW_t^j + \left(\sum_j \hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - \sum_j Z_t^{N,i,j} \right) \cdot \sqrt{\eta} dB_t \end{aligned}$$

- Do as if $|\cdot|^2$ is Lipschitz \rightsquigarrow take the square and \mathbb{E}

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \hat{Y}_t^{N,i} - Y_t^{N,i} \right|^2 + \int_t^T \sum_{j=1}^N \left| \hat{Z}_s^{N,i,j} - Z_s^{N,i,j} \right|^2 ds \right] \\ & \leq \frac{C\epsilon}{N^2} + \epsilon \mathbb{E} \int_t^T \left| \hat{Z}_s^{N,i,i} - Z_s^{N,i,i} \right|^2 ds + \frac{\epsilon}{N} \sum_j \mathbb{E} \int_t^T \left| \hat{Z}_s^{N,j,j} - Z_s^{N,j,j} \right|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

Stability argument

- Difference between two dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} & d(\hat{Y}_t^{N,i} - Y_t^{N,i}) \\ &= -\left[\frac{1}{2} |\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,i}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |Z_t^{N,i,i}|^2 + \underbrace{r^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,i})}_{\sim C/N} \right] dt \\ &+ \sum_j \underbrace{\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j}}_{\leq C/N \text{ if } i \neq j} (\hat{Z}_t^{N,j,j} - Z_t^{N,j,j}) dt \\ &+ \sum_j (\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - Z_t^{N,i,j}) \cdot dW_t^j + \left(\sum_j \hat{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - \sum_j Z_t^{N,i,j} \right) \cdot \sqrt{\eta} dB_t \end{aligned}$$

- To handle the square \leadsto exponential transform \Rightarrow final result

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\hat{Y}_t^{N,i} - Y_t^{N,i}|^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,i} - Z_t^{N,i,i}|^2 dt \leq \frac{C}{N^2}$$

- Inserting in the forward equation

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t^{N,i} &= -Z_t^{N,i,i} dt + dW_t^i + \sqrt{\eta} dB_t \\ &\approx -\hat{Z}_t^{N,i,i} dt + dW_t^i + \sqrt{\eta} dB_t \end{aligned}$$

Part IV. Rate of convergence

Fluctuations

- Equilibrium trajectories of the N player game

$$\begin{aligned}dX_t^{N,i} &= -\partial_{x_i} v^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,1}, \dots, X_t^{N,N}) dt + dW_t^i + \sqrt{\eta} dB_t \\ &= -\left[\partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, X_t^{N,i}, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{X_t^{N,j}}) + O(\frac{1}{N}) \right] dt + dW_t^i + \sqrt{\eta} dB_t\end{aligned}$$

- Compare with

$$d\hat{X}_t^{N,i} = -\partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, \hat{X}_t^{N,i}, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{\hat{X}_t^{N,j}}) dt + dW_t^i + \sqrt{\eta} dB_t$$

- get $\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\hat{X}_t^{N,i} - X_t^{N,i}|^2 \right] \leq \frac{C}{N^2}$

- and $\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} W_2 \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\hat{X}_t^{N,i}}, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^{N,i}} \right)^2 \right] \leq \frac{C}{N^2}$

- Limit is $\partial_t \mu_t - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mu_t - \operatorname{div}(\mu_t \partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, \cdot, \mu_t)) + \sqrt{\eta} \operatorname{div}(\mu_t \dot{B}_t) = 0$